UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,361	11/06/2003	Melissa Lee Merlau	A01462	8529
	7590 05/29/2007 IAAS COMPANY		EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPA	DATENT DEDADTMENT		BARHAM, BETHANY P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/702,361	MERLAU ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Bethany P. Barham	1615
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. A period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ap</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Dispositi	on of Claims		,
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 and 7-9 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdrated Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1 and 7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Received. I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Summary

Receipt of Applicant's After Final Amendment filed on 04/26/2007 is acknowledged. Prosecution of the application is hereby reopened and finality withdrawn. Claims 1 and 7 are pending. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected.

Due to Applicant's Amendment the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection over US 6,136,884 is hereby withdrawn.

#### **NEW REJECTIONS**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2004/0057923 A9 ('923) or US 2003/0147833 A1 ('833).

The limitations of claims 1 and 7 are taught by '923 or '833.

Both '923 and '833 teach a reshapable hair styling composition comprising,
 optionally in a cosmetically acceptable vehicle, at least one (meth)acrylic

('923 claims 21-22 and '833 claims 20-21).

Art Unit: 1615

copolymer which comprises: (a) units derived from at least one monomer chosen from butyl (meth)acrylate monomers, (b) units derived from at least one monomer chosen from hydroxy alkyl (meth)acrylate monomers, and optionally units derived from at least one monomer other than the (a) and (b) monomers (abstracts and claims 1-2). Both applications teach at least one additional

constituent is chosen from a cationic, anionic, nonionic and amphoteric polymers

Page 3

- Both '923 and '833 teach that the at least one (meth)acrylic copolymer comprises

   (a) n-butyl (meth)acrylate, (b) 2-hydroxy ethyl (meth)acrylate and (c) can be
   methyl (meth)acrylate, ethyl (meth)acrylate, (meth)acrylic acids, etc. ('923 claims 3-12 and '833 claims 3-12). '923 also teaches that (a) can be from about 10 to about 90 weight %, (b) from about 2 to about 50 percent (pg. 1, [0006]).
- The cationic, anionic, nonionic and amphoteric polymers of both '923 and '833 at taught to include: cationic conditioning polymers like Gaffix VC 713 (Tg 85°C, '923 pg. 6, [0062]),
- anionic polymers like acrylate copolymers Acrylidone LM and Luvimer 100 P (Tg ~95°C), Gantrez AN or ES (Gantrez ES 425), Resin 28-2930, Flexan 130 (Tg 112°C, '923 pg. 9-10 [0108, 0111, 0117, 0119-0120]);
- amphoteric polymers including Amphomer LV 71 or Lovocryl 47 (Tg 130°C, '923 pg. 11 [0131]),
- and nonionic polymers including PVP, PVP/VA (Tg 98°C), polyurethanes (Tg 74.5-120°C), etc ('923 pg. 13-14 [0158-0161, 0171]).

Art Unit: 1615

- Examiner respectfully points out that the polymers taught by '923 and '833 are taught by applicants specification Table 1 to have acceptable high Tg for the 'first polymer', pg. 12-13, and thus, like the instant application, the difference in Tg between the first polymer, an acid-functional polymer, and the second polymer, a copolymer, can be 40° C or more.
- '923 and '833 teach further constituents chosen from reducing agents, silanes, fatty substances, thickeners, plasticizers, anti-foam agents, fillers, sunscreens, etc ('923 claims 21 and '833 claims 20). '833 teaches that the composition is in a form chosen from sprays, aerosols, mousses, gels, sticks, muds, lotions, creams, dispersions, and emulsions (claim 23) and both teach that the composition is "reshapable" providing hair styling that can be restored or modified without new material or heat being applied and is long lasting 10-24 hours without drooping or loss of setting ('833 pg. 2 [0018] and '923 pg. 3 [0026]).
- '923 and '833 do not teach the at least one (meth)acrylic copolymer with a Tg of 20-35°C or a film of specific tensile strength modulus, but do teach in claims 20 a Tg from about –100°C to about 15°C.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to look to '923 and '833 for a composition with cosmetically acceptable vehicle, at least one (meth)acrylic copolymer, and a second polymer for hair styling.

One of ordinary skill in the art would know how to optimize the ranges of the Tg for the at least one (meth)acrylic copolymer of '923 and '833, as the MPEP 2144.05 states "Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive

Art Unit: 1615

to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." Especially, since the art teaches a Tg of about 15°C, which reads on the instant claim 1 of Tg of 20°C and one of ordinary skill in the art would know how to obtain a specified glass transition phase given workable ranges of the monomers disclosed in '923 and '833. Furthermore, both '923 and '833 teach that the hair styling composition is 'reshapable' without new material or heat being applied and is long lasting 10-24 hours without drooping or loss of setting and '833 teaches fixing products such a sprays, gels, mousse, etc which are known in the art to form films and since the specific secondary polymers are disclosed and the monomers of the first polymer taught by the art it would have been prima facie obvious to make the composition of the instant application.

# Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bethany Barham whose telephone number is (571)-272-6175. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday; 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1615

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bethany Barham Art Unit 1615

> MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600